IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.2592 of 2007

DATE OF DECISION: 20.02.2007

Malkeet Kaur ....Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Punjab and others ...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE J.S. KHEHAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND

PRESENT: Mr. Mr.Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

J.S. Khehar, J. (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, states that the claim of the petitioner is at least justified for a post-decisional hearing. In this behalf, learned counsel for the petitioner states, that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to make a detailed representation to the respondents against the order dated 5.2.2007, and that, the respondents be directed to take a decision thereon, so as to enable the petitioner to understand the exact basis of the thought process, which resulted in the passing of the impugned order.

In view of the innocuous prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, we consider it just and appropriate to grant liberty to the petitioner to move a detailed representation to respondent No.2 i.e. the Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab, within two weeks from today. In case such a representation is made by the petitioner,

along with a certified copy of the instant order, the Director, Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab (respondent No.2) shall take a decision thereon by passing a well reasoned speaking order within one month thereafter.

Disposed of accordingly.

Order dasti, on payment of usual charges.

(J.S. Khehar)
Judge

(S.D. Anand)
Judge

February 20, 2007.
vig